

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/015,309 12/		12/12/2001 Ronald P. Sansone		F-431	5556	
919	7590	08/30/2005		EXAMINER		
PITNEY BOWES INC.				BADII, BEHRANG		
35 WATER	RVIEW DR	IVE				
P.O. BOX 3000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MSC 26-22				3621		
SHELTON	, CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action								
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	•						

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/015,309	SANSONE, RONALD P.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Behrang Badii	3621		

Before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Behrang Badii	3621					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		- TINOT NET ET TWO	ILLD WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).				
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice hecalise.				
The arguments are not persuasive	it does NOT place the application if	Condition for allowar	ice because.				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
	nd the	Ma Suc 8 Ry EXAMINER					
	I RIMA	RY EXAMINER					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		/					